

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/217,389.	12/21/1998	ONDREJ SUCH	777.154US1	8400	
26389 75	26389 7590 02/10/2004			EXAMINER	
CHRISTENSEN, O'CONNOR, JOHNSON, KINDNESS, PLLC 1420 FIFTH AVENUE			ZHEN, LI B		
SUITE 2800				PAPER NUMBER	
SEATTLE, W.	A 98101-2347	<b>:</b>	2126	ıd	
			DATE MAILED: 02/10/2004	18	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/217,389	SUCH, ONDREJ
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Li B. Zhen	2126
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover she tw	ith th correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repit find period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of thi d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO te, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
<ul> <li>1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 / 2a)</li> <li>3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under</li> </ul>	is action is non-final. ance except for formal mat	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) 11-16 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3, 17 and 19 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 4-10,18 and 20 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to e drawing(s) be held in abeya ction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in a ority documents have beer au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No  received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview	Summary (PTO-413)
<ul> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	Paper No	s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

`1

Application/Control Number: 09/217,389

Art Unit: 2126

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1 - 20 are pending in the application.

### Allowable Subject Matter

- 2. Claims 11 16 are allowed.
- 3. Claims 4 10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 4. Claims 18 and 20 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 - 3, 17 and 19 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 7. Claims 17 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The limitation "lock being capable of returning to a pool of locks" in claim 17 (line 6) and claim 19 (line 4) is indefinite because it is unclear if the lock is actually returned

Application/Control Number: 09/217,389

Art Unit: 2126

to the pool of locks or not. The lock is capable of returning to the pool of locks but lock may or may not return to the pool of locks.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 9. Claims 1 3, 17 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,430,638 to Kessler.
- 10. As to claims 1, 17 and 19 [note the rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph for claims 17 and 19 above], Kessler teaches a system, computer and computer-readable medium [method and apparatus for synchronizing threads using selective object locking; col. 5, lines 9 15] for providing a recyclable the locking mechanism [since lock object C 217 is designated as the lock object to be used by objects 202, 204, 206, lock object C 217 is reused, which saves system resources and improves performance since relock operations are used instead of lock operations; col. 7, lines 18 25], at least one thread [synchronizing threads using selective object locking; col. 5, lines 9 15], a pool of locks [lock objects 209, 213, 217, Fig. 2; col. 6, lines 12 25 and 30 65], at least one object that is capable of representing a resource

Application/Control Number: 09/217,389

Art Unit: 2126

needed by the at least one thread [Object A 202 includes data 208, Object B 204 includes data 212, Object C 206 includes data 216; col. 5, lines 50 - 67], the at least one object having a variable [the parameter either (1) specifies a lock object; col. 8, lines 48 - 65], associating a lock from the pool of locks with the at least one object using the variable as a pointer [code 222 causes a lock to be obtained on the lock object specified by the lock object parameter...the lock object parameter specifies lock object 217 associated with object C 206; col. 9, lines 3 - 15], and returning the lock to the pool of locks when the at least one thread no longer needs to access the resource [step 316, 318, and 320, Fig. 3B; col. 7, lines 5 – 25] without having to destroy the at least one object [since lock object C 217 is designated as the lock object to be used by objects 202, 204, 206, lock object C 217 is reused, which saves system resources and improves performance since relock operations are used instead of lock operations; col. 7, lines 5 – 25]. Examiner notes that lock object C 217 is reused to lock objects 202, 204, and 206 with out having to destroy any of the objects 202, 204 and 206.

11. As to claim 2, Kessler teaches deassociate the lock from the object upon a second request by thread [execution of code 233 causes one lock on lock object C 217 held by object C 206 to be released and return control to method 214; col. 7, lines 5 – 10].

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/217,389

Art Unit: 2126

12. As to claim 3, Kessler teaches an associated variable that comprises an integer

[the parameter either (1) specifies a lock object or (2) specifies a predetermined value

which indicates that no object locking is to be performed; col. 8, lines 48 - 65].

Conclusion

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Li B. Zhen whose telephone number is (703) 305-3406.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri, 8:30am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Meng-Ai An can be reached on (703) 305-9678. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Li B. Zhen

Examiner

Art Unit 2126

lbz

February 6, 2004

MPNG-AL T. AN

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100